

IANA Review Committee Teleconference
20 January 2021 | 12 PM UTC
Draft Minutes

Attendees

	Community Representatives	Staff Representative
AFRINIC		
	Mike Silber (MS)	Madhvi Gokool (MG)
APNIC		
	Bertrand Cherrier, Chair (BC)	Guangliang Pan (GP)
	Satoru Tsurumaki (ST)	
ARIN		
		John Sweeting (JS)
LACNIC		
	Nathalia Sautchuk Patrício (NP)	Ernesto Majó (EM)
	Sergio Rojas (SR)	
RIPE NCC		
	Filiz Yilmaz (FY)	Marco Schmidt (MSc)
	Nurani Nimpuno (NN)	

Secretariat:

German Valdez (GV) – NRO Executive Secretary

Minutes:

Laureana Pavón (LP)

Observers

Hiroki Kawabata (APNIC)

Apologies:

Saul Stein (AFRINIC)

Louie Lee (ARIN)

Martin Hannigan (ARIN)

New Action Items

New Action Item 210120-1: JS to update the tables for the Final IANA RC report to include the changes agreed during the 20 January teleconference the and send them back to the mailing list for comments

New Action Item 210120-2: BC to follow up with LL to complete the IANA RC report and then send a new version of the draft back to the list for comments.

New Action Item 210120-3: BC to circulate a timeline for the RC Report Work Plan and initiate the scheduling of the meetings for the coming months

0. Welcome
1. Agenda Review
2. IANA RC chair election & vice chair selection
3. Approval of Minutes
4. Review of Open Action Items
5. RIR Matrix (RIR staff)
6. RC report draft (Louie)
7. RC Report work plan 2021
8. AOB
9. Next meeting
10. Adjourn

0. Welcome and Roll Call

The meeting began at 12:05 PM with NN welcoming everyone to the first IANA RC teleconference of the year.

GV performed the roll call and declared quorum.

1. Agenda review:

No comments or additions were suggested.

2. IANA RC chair election & vice chair selection

NN observed that GV had sent out information regarding the IANA RC operating procedures, which establish that the chair of the RC must be elected during the first teleconference of the year. She then opened the floor to nominations for IANA RC chair for 2021.

NN said she had chaired the committee since its inception; that in the beginning they had spent some time defining the group, its charter and operating procedures; and that the RC was now at a very mature phase, so she would like to hand the baton to someone else.

NN noted that BC had been a very supportive vice chair and knew the process inside out, so she proceeded to nominate him to serve as IANA RC chair.

BC accepted the nomination, which was seconded by MS and received the support of EM, JS, FY and MG. No objections were heard.

NN observed that the procedures specified a voting election to appoint the IANA RC chair, but that, unless there were any other nominations, they could consider BC selected.

The RC congratulated BC and thanked NN for her work.

NN congratulated BC and said that he could chair the meeting from that moment on.

BC thanked everyone and said that, as per the procedures, he would select a vice chair. He then asked if there were any volunteers to serve in this position.

NN said that she would be happy to help but would give priority to others.

BC noted that he understood why new members would not want to step into the role.

Hearing no additional volunteers, BC invited NN to co-chair the IANA RC and NN accepted.

The RC thanked NN for her work as chair and congratulated her for her appointment as co-chair.

3. Approval of Minutes

At BC's request, GV confirmed that the minutes of the conference held on 24 November 2020 had been sent to the list.

No comments or objections were heard, so BC asked the Secretariat to publish them on the NRO website.

4. Review of Open Action Items

It was established that there were no open action items to discuss.

5. RIR Matrix (RIR staff)

JS said he had sent the new tables to the list on 21 December for discussion. He asked if anyone wanted to propose any changes, adding that the new tables were basically in the same format they had used the previous year and that their comms department had done a great job once again this year.

JS said that Martin Hannigan had replied to the list with some feedback, which he proceeded to read. He observed that he hadn't seen any other comments on the list.

In response to Martin Hannigan's feedback, JS commented that their report needed to stand on its own, which was the reason why there might be some duplicate information.

GP asked JS to share the tables on screen. He then suggested some changes to increase the clarity of the third table (use of checkmarks and some other modifications) and making the links in the first table clickable to the IANA website.

After further discussion of the changes suggested by GP, no objections were heard, the changes were accepted, and the following action item was decided:

New Action Item 210120-1: JS to update the tables for the Final IANA RC report to include the changes agreed during the 20 January teleconference and send them back to the mailing list for comments.

6. RC report draft (Louie)

BC noted that LL was not present on the call.

NN said that LL had been working on a Google doc. This document was displayed on screen and they were able to see that LL had done some updates.

JS added that LL had also sent an email asking about an infographic included in the report.

NN said that she had no problem discussing how the information was displayed, but that it was important for the information to be displayed clearly and in a format that was easy to maintain and not too time-consuming to produce.

BC asked if there were any additional comments on this agenda item.

NN said that LL had taken it upon himself to update the report, but it appeared that he had not finished the update.

NN noted that, in her view, the matrix was owned by the RIRs, so it was not for the RC to decide how it looks and that their part was to make sure that the information was correct and up to date.

BC agreed.

At NN's suggestion, the following action item was decided:

New Action Item 210120-2: BC to follow up with LL to complete the IANA RC report and then send a new version of the draft back to the list for comments.

7. RC Report Work Plan 2021

BC said that, if everyone agreed with how the report was being done, they could repeat the same type of document for their next report, i.e., copy/paste and make any adjustments needed for 2021.

NN, NP, GP, ST, MSc and EM agreed.

NN then said it would be good to put together a timeline and the steps in the work plan. She suggested they set some deadlines for circulating a draft and for comments on the matrix, putting together a similar plan as they had done in the past so they could set the meeting dates for the year and know when the report would be published.

NN said she had noticed that someone was editing the 2020 document. BC replied that in 2020 Saul Stein had not been a member of the RC and that he had not chaired the RC, so that would be for 2021.

NN explained that the 2020 document actually said "members of the RC at the time of the publishing of this report," as the idea had been to list the people responsible for the report.

New Action Item 210120-3: BC to circulate a timeline for the RC Report Work Plan and initiate the scheduling of the meetings for the coming months.

8. AOB

MSc thanked BC and said he looked forward to working with the group during 2021.

SR asked GV if the mailing list was up to date. GV confirmed that it was and that he would send the list of current members to the IANA-RC list for verification.

At JS's request, the new action items were reviewed before signing off.

No further business was brought up for discussion.

9. Next meeting

NN suggested staying on the call with BC and GV to have a look at the workplan and decide some possible dates for creating a Doodle poll to decide the date for their next meeting.

BC suggested deciding the date for their next meeting on the mailing list.

No objections were heard.

10. Adjourn

BC concluded by saying that following NN in the role of IANA RC chair would not be easy but that he would do his best and adjourned the meeting at 12:52.